

# FILED

1	BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP Geoffrey M. Howard (SBN 157468)	2011 JAN 24 AM 10: 46
2	Email: geoff.howard@bingham.com	CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
3	Bree Hann (SBN 215695)	CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. LOS ANGELES
4	Email: bree.hann@bingham.com	BY
5	Chad Russell (SBN 246046) Email: chad.russell@bingham.com	
6	Three Embarcadero Center	
_	San Francisco, CA 94111-4067	
7	Telephone: 415.393.2000	
8	Facsimile: 415.393.2286	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
10	Yardi Systems, Inc.	BYFAX
11	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
12	CENTRAL DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
13		00/00 (1)
14	Yardi Systems, Inc., a California	No. CV 11 00690 - ODW (JEM)
15	company,	COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR
16	Plaintiff,	JURY TRIAL FOR:
<b>17</b> .		(1) VIOLATIONS OF THE
18	V.	COMPUTER FRAUD AND ABUSE ACT (18 U.S.C. §§ 1030 et seq.);
19	RealPage, Inc., a Delaware	(2) VIOLATIONS OF THE
20	corporation, and DC Consulting, Inc., a Washington, D.C. corporation,	COMPREHENSIVE COMPUTER DATA ACCESS AND FRAUD ACT
		(CAL. PENAL CODE § 502);
21	Defendants.	(3) VIOLATIONS OF THE DIGITAL MILLENNIUM COPYRIGHT ACT
22		(17 U.S.C. § 1201 et seq.);
23		(4) COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT (17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.);
24		(5) TRADE SECRET
25		MISAPPROPRIATION (CAL. CIV. CODE §§ 3426-3426.11); AND
26		(6) UNFAIR COMPETITION (CAL.
		BUS. & PROF. CODE § 17200 et seq.)
27		
28		and the second of the second o

Plaintiff Yardi Systems, Inc. ("Yardi"), by and through its attorneys, 1 submits the following Complaint and Jury Trial Demand against Defendants 2 RealPage, Inc. ("RealPage") and DC Consulting, Inc. ("EverGreen") (collectively 3 4 referred to as "Defendants"). 5 **INTRODUCTION** Yardi brings this case to stop its competitor RealPage from 6 1. wrongfully infiltrating Yardi's password-protected internal website, altering 7 Yardi's confidential internal data, and illegally downloading Yardi's copyrighted 8 and trade secret software, software manuals, price lists, and other confidential 9 10 information. For almost thirty years, Yardi has been a leading developer of 2. 11 database and application software for real estate and property management clients. 12 Its integrated suite of software products for this market, and the related services it 13 provides, have earned Yardi a reputation for quality throughout the real estate and 14 15 property management industry. Defendant RealPage competes with Yardi for clients of **16** 3. property management software and related services. In 2009, unable to compete **17** with Yardi on its own, RealPage acquired Defendant EverGreen. 1 At the time, 18 EverGreen was best known for providing technology and software support services 19 almost exclusively for users of Yardi software. As a then-member of the Yardi **20** Independent Consultant Network, EverGreen had access to Yardi's confidential, 21 proprietary, and copyrighted information pursuant to a strict confidentiality 22 agreement. It also had access to Yardi's password-protected client support 23 website, called Client Central. EverGreen also employed (and still employs) 24 25 <sup>1</sup> Prior to the acquisition, EverGreen called itself "EverGreen Solutions, Inc." It then sold the name EverGreen to RealPage, which continues to operate a division by that name as a continuation of the EverGreen Solutions, Inc. enterprise. The corporate entity previously called EverGreen Solutions, Inc. exists today as DC 26 27 Consulting, Inc. 28

former Yardi employees - including EverGreen's current Chief Technology 1 Officer – with knowledge of Client Central's structure and the location of 2 proprietary, trade secret information on Client Central. 3 Yardi terminated EverGreen's access to Client Central when it 4 4. learned about EverGreen's imminent acquisition by RealPage. Yardi then warned 5 RealPage and EverGreen in writing to respect Yardi's confidential information and 6 intellectual property. 7 RealPage and EverGreen ignored Yardi's warnings. Instead, 8 5. RealPage announced that it would use EverGreen to service and support Yardi 9 Voyager clients. On information and belief, to do so, RealPage knew it would **10** exploit the Yardi confidential information that Evergreen had by virtue of its prior 11 status as an authorized member of the Yardi Independent Consultants network. 12 To meet its commitments to the market, RealPage also wanted, 13. 6. for its own competitive use, ongoing access to Yardi's confidential, copyrighted, 14 and trade secret information. Unwilling to replicate Yardi's effort to develop this 15 material independently, RealPage instead chose to circumvent the access controls 16 on Client Central. Since the EverGreen acquisition, Defendants continually have 17 accessed Client Central using a variety of credentials they have stolen from Yardi. 18 These credentials include ones belonging to certain high-ranking Yardi employees 19 whose credentials give them special access to the most protected information 20 stored in Client Central. This protected information includes additional 21 confidential credentials of other Yardi employees, Yardi clients, and members of 22 the Yardi Independent Consultant Network. Defendants have taken and used these 23 Yardi employee, Yardi client, and independent consultant credentials to steal 24 Yardi's trade secret and copyrighted software and related support documentation, 25 price lists, and other proprietary information, and to alter the confidential data 26 stored in Client Central. On information and belief, Defendants have used the 27 illicit copies and proprietary, trade secret information taken from Client Central to 28

3

enhance RealPage's own software offerings and to unfairly compete with Yardi. 1 Yardi's intellectual property and proprietary data are the 2 cornerstone of its business. While Yardi welcomes fair competition, if RealPage 3 chooses to service Yardi customers, it must do so without stealing Yardi's 4 5 intellectual property. 6 THE PARTIES Plaintiff Yardi is a private, California corporation with its 7 8. principal place of business in Goleta, California. Yardi designs, develops, licenses, 8 hosts, manages, and supports software programs for managing real estate 9 10 investments and properties. Defendant RealPage is a publicly-traded Delaware corporation 9. 11 with its principal place of business in Carrollton, Texas. RealPage competes with 12 Yardi in the design, development, and support of software programs for managing 13 real estate investments and properties. 14 Defendant EverGreen is a subsidiary or division of RealPage 10. 15 with its principal place of business in the District of Columbia. According to 16 public filings, RealPage acquired substantially all of EverGreen's assets in 17 September 2009. As part of RealPage, EverGreen provides technological 18 consulting and software support services for RealPage's clients that also use Yardi 19 software. Prior to its acquisition by RealPage, EverGreen was a member of the 20 Yardi Independent Consultant Network, described in more detail below. 21 Defendants all do business in, and/or have directed their 22 activities at, California, and specifically this judicial district. For example, 23 RealPage and EverGreen advertise, promote, sell, license, service, and support 24 clients in California and in this judicial district. Further, Defendants have accessed 25 and downloaded copyrighted and trade secret materials from Yardi's Client Central 26 servers, which are located in this district. 27 At all material times, each Defendant was the agent, partner, 28 12.

representative, subsidiary, parent, affiliate, alter ego, or co-conspirator of the 1 others, had full knowledge of and gave substantial assistance to the alleged 2 misconduct, and, in doing the things alleged, each was acting within the scope of 3 such agency, partnership, representation, affiliation, or conspiracy. Each is legally 4 responsible for the acts and omissions of the others. 5 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 6 Yardi's first cause of action arises under the Computer Fraud 7 13. and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1030 et seq. Its third cause of action arises under the 8 Digital Millennium Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 1201 et seq. Its fourth cause of 9 action arises under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq. Accordingly, this 10 Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 11 § 1030(g), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and 28 U.S.C. § 1338. 12 This Court has supplemental subject matter jurisdiction over the 13 pendent state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 because these claims form 14 part of the same case or controversy as the claims brought pursuant to the 15 Computer Fraud and Abuse Act and the Digital Millennium Copyright Act and 16 derive from a common nucleus of operative fact. 17 This Court also has subject matter jurisdiction over all the 18 15. claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332, as Yardi and all Defendants are 19 citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds the sum or value 20 of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs. 21 Venue is appropriate in this district, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 22 § 1391, because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the dispute occurred 23 in this district, a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is 24 situated in this district (including Yardi's Client Central servers, which Defendants 25 improperly accessed and from which they downloaded Yardi's copyrighted and 26 trade secret materials), and the Court has personal jurisdiction over each of the 27 parties as alleged throughout this Complaint. 28

**FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS** 1 **Background** 2 Yardi began as a modest start-up in 1982 with a single software 3 17. offering and a single client. Since then, Yardi has grown to become the global 4 leader in asset and property management solutions, servicing more than 15,000 5 businesses representing seven million residential units and seven billion square feet 6 of commercial space in the United States, Canada, Europe, Asia, and Australia. 7 Yardi now employs over 1,900 professionals in offices around the world. 8 Yardi's flagship enterprise software product, Yardi Voyager 9 18. ("Voyager"), is a fully-integrated, web-based, enterprise management system 10 designed for property owners, managers, and investors in global real estate 11 markets. Yardi offers Voyager solutions designed to meet the specific 12 requirements of every real estate market, including commercial (office, retail, 13 industrial), multifamily housing, affordable housing, senior housing, public 14 housing, military housing, investment management, association management, and 15 student housing. 16 Yardi also licenses its Yardi Genesis product ("Genesis"), a 19. 17 fully-integrated accounting and property management software system for mid-size 18 residential, commercial, industrial, retail, and condominium/co-operative 19 properties. 20 In addition to Voyager, Genesis, and other software products, 20. 21 Yardi offers its clients add-on products and service modules that integrate with 22 each other to provide complete property management services. These products, 23 which include payment processing, utility billing, call centers, portals, resident 24 screening, and many others, allow Yardi clients to customize their software and 25 business solutions to best address their needs. **26** Yardi clients sometimes desire assistance with their licensed 21. 27 software, add-on products, or service modules. A client may choose, consistent 28 6

T.	with the client's license agreement, to work with an independent consultant.
2	promote and facilitate its clients' wishes to work with such independent
3	consultants, Yardi occasionally enters into cooperation, consulting, and/or non-
4	disclosure or confidentiality agreements with certain independent consultants.
5	These independent consultants constitute the Yardi Independent Consultant
6	Network.
7	22. For almost all of its software systems, add-on products, or add-
8 .	on service modules, Yardi creates detailed, technical accompanying documentation
9	in the form of user guides, release notes, and other written materials
10	("Documentation"). Yardi spends enormous time and resources researching,
11	developing, writing, improving, acquiring the technology for, and integrating its
12	software systems, add-on products, add-on service modules, and associated
13	Documentation. Yardi faces substantial competition from RealPage and other
14	companies. The confidential and trade secret information in Yardi's software
15	products and related Documentation is valuable because it allows Yardi to compet
16	effectively and advantageously. Yardi is the exclusive owner and copyright holde
17	of all the software systems, add-on products, add-on service modules, and
18	Documentation that it offers to its clients.
19	23. The Documentation explains, with detailed references to the
20	underlying software, how to customize and use the specific Yardi software
21	product. The Documentation includes details about the logic, design, inputs,
22	methods, functionality, value, upgrades, and operation of the software product.
23	Often, the guides provide screenshots and other excerpts from the underlying
24	software product. The Documentation includes information resulting from the
25	years of effort and great expense it has taken Yardi to develop its software to
26	contain the features that are specific to property management and each of Yardi's
27	target markets.
28	24. For example, Yardi International Management has hundreds of
	7

features unique to international properties, as opposed to other properties, such as 1 domestic commercial, senior, or affordable housing. Yardi's years of experience 2 and efforts to understand these unique features, and its translation of these features 3 into its Voyager International Management software and accompanying 4 Documentation, reflect trade secrets that give Yardi a competitive advantage. 5 The Documentation contains sufficient detail about Yardi's 25. 6 software, including the features specific to each of Yardi's target markets, that a 7 competitor could use the Documentation substantially to shortcut the effort 8 otherwise required to understand those features. Doing so could result in the 9 development of a competitive product at a fraction of the time and expense 10 invested by Yardi. 11 To protect its Documentation, Yardi designates it as 12 26. copyrighted, confidential, and trade secret, and provides it only to clients and 13 consultants pursuant to licenses or other contracts with strict confidentiality 14 provisions. Yardi's employment agreements and Employee Handbook, to which 15 Yardi employees must agree, also contain confidentiality provisions that remain in 16 effect even after an employee's termination. For instance, the Employee 17 Handbook generally prohibits the disclosure of "confidential information," 18 including without limitation, "company financial information, data or reports; 19 customer account or contract information; competitive secrets; or any other **20** information that might compromise the company or our employees [including] 21 intellectual property, product algorithms, and source code." 22 Yardi provides clients and consultants with access to its 23 software and Documentation through a password-protected website called Client 24 Central. When a client licenses Yardi software, or when a consultant contracts to 25 become a member of the Yardi Independent Consultant Network, Yardi issues a 26 unique credential for use in accessing Client Central, consisting of a unique user 27 name and password ("Credential"). As evidenced by the log-on screen on Client 28 8

Central, a user is only authorized to search for, read, and download Yardi software 1 and Documentation available on Client Central after the user has logged in with a 2 valid Credential issued to that user. These Credentials are valuable because they 3 provide access to Yardi's copyrighted and trade secret information, and because 4 they are restricted (outside of Yardi personnel) to licensees and authorized 5 consultants. 6 Different Credentials provide different levels of access within 7 28. Client Central. For example, Credentials issued to clients and consultants allow 8 access to certain Documentation and software, but not to portions of Client Central 9 restricted to internal use by Yardi employees. The restricted portions of the site, 10 sometimes called Client Central Employee Resources, are visible to, and accessible 11 by, only Yardi employees based on their unique and confidential Credential. Only 12 a user logging in with a Yardi employee Credential will be able to see or access 13 those portions of the site. The Client Central Employee Resources section of the 14 site contains highly proprietary, confidential, and trade secret Yardi information. 15 This information includes the Yardi client and consultant database and detailed 16 information about Yardi's services, sales practices, client tools, internal 17 procedures, and product pricing. As an example, Yardi's price lists, available on 18 Client Central only to Yardi employees, are a valuable collection of detailed data 19 about all of Yardi's products that Yardi uses to negotiate contracts and pricing 20 specific to the unique needs of its customers. With access to these price lists, a 21 competitor could more effectively direct its sales efforts to potential customers that 22 were also considering or using Yardi products. 23 The most restricted level of the Client Central Employee 29. 24 Resources area includes the Site Control Center, referred to below as the "Vault." 25 Only a small handful of Yardi employees' Credentials allow access to the Vault. 26 The Vault contains highly proprietary, confidential, and trade secret Yardi 27 information, including the Credentials of all Yardi employees, clients, and 28

1	consultants. Access to the Vault also permits a user to update or change sensitive
2	client and consultant data.
3	Defendants' Unauthorized Access To, and Downloading From, Client Central
4	30. On or about September 23, 2009, RealPage, Yardi's main
5	competitor in the multi-family market segment, acquired EverGreen. At the time,
6	according to industry analysts, EverGreen was the largest consultant for Yardi
7	products. Analysts believed EverGreen's familiarity with Yardi clients, products,
8	and services would serve as an "entry point," giving RealPage "the ability to sell
9	its solutions into Yardi's large base of residential units," and that "[t]argeting the
10	Yardi base in this way is clever."
11	31. Yardi learned of the potential acquisition shortly before it was
12	finalized. Because RealPage competes directly with Yardi, Yardi became
13	concerned about EverGreen's knowledge of and access to Yardi's software,
14	Documentation, and other confidential intellectual property and trade secrets. To
15	protect itself, on September 3, 2009, Yardi terminated its cooperation agreement
16	with EverGreen in writing, and informed EverGreen that its access to Yardi's
17	support systems, including Client Central, was also terminated. Yardi has never
18	issued Client Central Credentials to RealPage.
19	32. On information and belief, despite knowing that EverGreen no
20	longer had Yardi's permission to access Client Central, RealPage nonetheless
21	completed its acquisition of EverGreen's assets, including its employees. On
22	information and belief, it did so knowing that, without any form of authorized
23	access, Defendants would instead choose to force their way into Yardi's systems.
24	To gain access to Client Central, Defendants circumvented the access restrictions
25	on Client Central and obtained unauthorized, illegal access to the Vault. Once
26	inside, Defendants altered the data in the Vault, including, on information and
27	belief, by deleting and creating Client Central Credentials. From inside the Vault,
28	Defendants took an assortment of confidential Credentials belonging to Yardi 10

1	employees, clients, and consultants. Defendants used these Credentials to access
2	Client Central from internet protocol (IP) addresses registered to and/or used by
3	Defendants at locations in or near their offices. From these locations, Defendants
4	used an assortment of Yardi employee, client, and consultant Credentials to access
5	Client Central and to download copies of Yardi's copyrighted and trade secret
6	software, Documentation, and price lists.
7	33. By way of example only, on April 1, 2010, some person logged
8	into Client Central using the Credential of Yardi Senior Vice President Terri
9	Dowen (user ID "terrid") from the IP address 70.184.249.191. On information and
10	belief, that IP address belongs to one of Defendants' satellite offices or employees
1	in the vicinity of Tulsa, Oklahoma. Ms. Dowen was not in Oklahoma on April 1,
12	2010, and has never provided her Credential to any Defendant. With her
13	Credential, the user accessed and downloaded Yardi's internal price lists for all of
14	Yardi's products.
15	34. Similarly, on May 5, 2010, some person logged into Client
16	Central using the Credential of Yardi Senior Vice President John Pendergast (user
17	ID "johnp") from the 76.115.144.5 IP address. On information and belief, that IP
18	address belongs to one of Defendants' satellite offices or employees in the vicinity
19	of Eugene, Oregon. Mr. Pendergast was not in Oregon on May 5 and has never
20	provided his Credential to any Defendant. With his Credential, this user accessed
21	and downloaded Yardi's internal price lists.
22	35. As another example, on November 4, 2010, some person
23	logged into Client Central using the Credential of Yardi employee Jackie Mills
24	(user ID "jackiem") from the IP address 71.56.157.127. On information and belief
25	that IP address belongs to one of Defendants' satellite offices or employees in the
26	vicinity of Eugene, Oregon. Ms. Mills was not in Oregon on November 4, 2010,
27	and has never provided her Credential to any Defendant. Defendants used Ms.
28	Mills' Credential to access and download several pieces of copyrighted and trade

1	secret Documentation for the latest release of Yardi's Voyager 7.0 software. A		
2	competitor with access to these and similar materials could reverse-engineer the		
3	unique functionality of Yardi's software, which took Yardi years to develop. It		
4	also could gain an unfair competitive advantage by using Yardi's confidential		
5	intellectual property not otherwise available to the competitor to focus its		
6	marketing and sales efforts against competing Yardi products.		
7	36. Defendants took and used Yardi client and consultant		
8	Credentials too, likely in an effort to make their illegal access appear normal, and		
9	to thereby obscure their tracks. By way of example only, on October 7, 2010, a		
10	user from the IP address 71.166.161.111, which on information and belief belongs		
11	to one of Defendants' satellite offices or employees in the vicinity of Washington,		
12	D.C., logged into Client Central with the "dts" Credential, which Yardi had issued		
13	to Yardi consultant Darlene Sears. Ms. Sears was not in Washington, D.C. on		
14	October 7, 2010, and has never provided her Credential to any Defendant. The		
15	user then downloaded a Voyager Debt Mortgage User's Guide. The first page of		
16	this manual included a notice, which read in part:		
17	This product or document is protected by copyright and		
18	distributed under licenses restricting its use, copying, distribution, and decompilation. No part of this product		
19	or document may be reproduced in any form by any		
20	means without prior written authorization of Yardi Systems, Inc. and its licensors, if any.		
21			
22	Defendants had no authorization from Yardi to copy this manual. A competitor		
23	could use the detailed information contained in this manual to develop a competing		
24	software product at a fraction of the cost required to create this program		
25	independently, as Yardi did.		
26	37. By way of further example, on November 10, 2010, a user from		
27	the same 71.166.161.111 address logged into Client Central with the "dts"		
28	12		
	<u>-</u>		

- 1 Credential and downloaded a Voyager International User's Guide, which included
- 2 the same copyright notice as in the preceding paragraph. Ms. Sears was not in
- 3 Washington, D.C. on November 10, 2010. Defendants had no authorization from
- 4 Yardi to copy these materials on November 10, 2010. Yardi spent over seven
- 5 years creating the specific functionality contained within its International program.
- 6 Access to this detailed manual would allow a competitor to reverse-engineer
- 7 critical International-specific functions without devoting the time or resources that
- 8 Yardi did.
- 9 38. Users from IP addresses belonging to Defendants also
- download materials related to products Defendants do not even service. On
- information and belief, neither Defendant has ever serviced Yardi International
- software. Yet, (as one example), on November 4, 2010, some person logged into
- 13 Client Central using the "jackiem" Credential from the IP address 71.56.157.127
- and downloaded a Voyager International User's Guide (Version 7.0) and a
- 15 Voyager International Currency User's Guide (Version 7.0). Less than a week
- later, on November 10, 2010, a user using the "dts" Credential from the IP address
- 17 71.166.161.111 downloaded a Voyager International User's Guide (Version
- 18 6.08.22). Defendants have no license to (or apparent legitimate business use for)
- 19 the International User's Guides they downloaded. They also have no license or
- right to use Ms. Sears' credential.
- 21 39. When users log in with Yardi client Credentials, they also
- download materials related to products not licensed to those clients. For example,
- on January 3, 2011, just days after Yardi posted its year-end instructional
- 24 materials, a user from an IP address believed to belong to RealPage (71.154.18.2),
- using the Credential of Jessica Brock (user ID "jbrock") of Yardi client
- 26 Progressive Development, Inc. ("PRI"), downloaded Yardi's Enterprise 1099
- 27 Installation Utility and QuickSteps and Enterprise 2010 End-of-Year Procedures
- 28 Guide. However, as a Voyager client, PRI has no license to (or legitimate business

use for) the Enterprise materials downloaded with its Credential. 1 In all, Defendants appear to have engaged in a widespread 2 pattern of gaining unauthorized access to Yardi's Vault, stealing Yardi Credentials 3 from it (or obtaining them by other illicit means), and then using those Credentials 4 routinely to access additional confidential and trade secret information and to make 5 illegal downloaded copies of Yardi's copyrighted and trade secret Documentation. 6 To date, Yardi has identified more than two hundred log-ins to Client Central by 7 Defendants from multiple IP addresses in various locations believed to be 8 associated with Defendants, and multiple Credentials that Defendants have 9 apparently taken from Yardi and used from these multiple IP addresses. All told, 10 Defendants have illegally downloaded over one hundred individual Documentation 11 12 files. Defendants are fully aware they have no authorization to obtain 13 41. or use Yardi employee Credentials, access restricted portions of Client Central 14 (including the Vault), or download unlicensed Yardi Documentation or other Yardi 15 proprietary and trade secret information. At the time of the illegal access and 16 downloads, neither RealPage nor EverGreen had a valid Credential from Yardi of 17 its own. Moreover, after RealPage acquired EverGreen, Yardi explicitly withdrew 18 its permission for EverGreen to access Client Central and Yardi's confidential 19 information. Further, there is no possibility that RealPage and EverGreen 20 employees, who include former Yardi employees with specific knowledge about 21 Yardi's policies, could reasonably have believed they were authorized to access 22 and use Yardi's confidential and trade secret information. Neither RealPage nor 23 EverGreen can claim permission to access the internal Yardi portions of Client 24 Central. No one other than a Yardi employee ever has legitimate access to those 25 26 areas. **Defendants' Competitive Use of the Illegal Access and Copies** 27 After EverGreen's acquisition, and despite Defendants' lack of 28 42. 14

- 1 legitimate access to Client Central and Yardi's confidential information,
- 2 Defendants nevertheless successfully convinced several existing Yardi clients to
- 3 become RealPage clients. These clients include Pinnacle, Riverstone, and PRI.
- 4 On information and belief, Defendants' illicit knowledge of and access to Yardi's
- 5 trade secret and copyrighted materials helped them win these clients, and they
- 6 needed that illicit access and knowledge to fulfill their claims that they are able to
- 7 host and support Yardi software.
- 8 43. Yardi's process of software development is an iterative process
- 9 of perfecting trade secret data models, user interfaces, and process workflows. A
- 10 competitor with access to Yardi's trade secret specifications, data models, user
- 11 interfaces, and process workflows would significantly advance the development
- 12 cycle of the competitor's analogous product, allowing it to compete much earlier in
- 13 the market and reduce or eliminate Yardi's competitive advantage.
- 14 44. For example, Yardi has spent over seven years developing its
- 15 International Property Management software (first released in 2002) and five years
- 16 completing its Budgeting & Forecasting product (first released in 2002). Each
- year, Yardi improves these products to better suit the needs of its clients.
- 18 45. After RealPage acquired EverGreen, RealPage began
- 19 expanding its own software offerings to match Yardi's, releasing its own
- 20 International and Budgeting & Forecasting systems in 2010. Defendants released
- 21 these competing products during the time they had illegal access to Yardi's most
- valuable competitive information and trade secrets about Yardi's analogous
- products. On information and belief, Defendants have used Yardi's confidential
- 24 information and trade secrets to enhance their own software offerings and to
- 25 improve their competitive positioning against Yardi in the market. In other words,
- 26 RealPage is competing with Yardi by using Yardi's own intellectual property
- 27 against it the epitome of unfair competition.

1	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
2	Violation of Federal Computer Fraud and Abuse Act
3	(18 U.S.C. §§ $1030(a)(2)(C)$ , $(a)(4)$ & $(a)(5)$ )
4	(Against All Defendants)
5	46. Yardi incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the
6	preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here.
7	47. Defendants have violated the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act,
8	18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(2)(C), by intentionally accessing a computer used for
9	interstate commerce or communication, without authorization or by exceeding
10	authorized access to such a computer, and by obtaining information from such a
11	protected computer.
12	48. Defendants have violated the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act,
13	18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(4), by knowingly, and with intent to defraud Yardi, accessing
14	a protected computer, without authorization or by exceeding authorized access to
15	such a computer, and by means of such conduct furthered the intended fraud and
16	obtained one or more things of value, including but not limited to Yardi's trade
17	secrets and copyrighted materials.
18	49. Defendants have violated the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act,
19	18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(5)(A), by knowingly causing the transmission of a program,
20	information, code, or command, and as a result of such conduct, intentionally
21	causing damage without authorization to Yardi's protected computer.
22	50. Defendants have violated the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act,
23	18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(5)(B), by intentionally accessing Yardi's protected computers
24	without authorization, and as a result of such conduct, recklessly causing damage
25	to Yardi.
26	51. Defendants have violated the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act,
27	18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(5)(C), by intentionally accessing Yardi's protected computers
28	without authorization, and as a result of such conduct, causing damage and loss to

1	Yardi.
2	52. The computer system or systems that Defendants accessed as
3	described above constitute a "protected computer" within the meaning of 18
4	U.S.C. § 1030(e)(2).
5	53. Yardi has suffered damage and loss by reason of these
6	violations, including, without limitation, harm to its computer systems and
7	confidential data, impairment to the security of Yardi's systems and the integrity of
8	its confidential Credentials, investigative and remedial time, labor, and expenses,
9	and other losses and damage in an amount to be proved at trial, but, in any event,
10	in an amount well over \$5,000 aggregated over a one-year period.
11	54. Defendants' unlawful access to and theft from Yardi's
12	computers have caused Yardi irreparable injury. Unless restrained and enjoined,
13	Defendants will continue to commit such acts. Yardi's remedies at law are not
14	adequate to compensate it for these inflicted and threatened injuries, entitling Yardi
15	to remedies including injunctive relief as provided by 18 U.S.C. § 1030(g).
16	
17	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
18	Violation of Comprehensive Computer Data Access and Fraud Act
19	(Cal. Penal Code § 502)
20	(Against All Defendants)
21	55. Yardi incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the
<b>22</b>	preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here.
23	56. Defendants have violated California Penal Code § 502(c)(2) by
24	knowingly and fraudulently, and without permission, accessing, taking, copying,
25	and making use of Documentation, data, and materials from Yardi's computers,
26	computer systems, and/or computer networks.
27	57. Defendants have violated California Penal Code § 502(c)(3) by
28	knowingly, fraudulently, and without permission accessing and using Yardi's

1	computer services.	
2	58. Defendants have violated California Penal Code § 502(c)(6) by	
3	knowingly, fraudulently, and without permission providing, or assisting in	
4	providing, a means of accessing Yardi's computers, computer systems, and/or	
5	computer networks.	
6	59. Defendants have violated California Penal Code § 502(c)(7) by	
7	knowingly, fraudulently, and without permission accessing, or causing to be	
8	accessed, Yardi's computers, computer systems, and/or computer networks.	
9	60. Yardi owns certain materials that comprise trade secret	
10	Documentation, price lists, and other information, obtained by Defendants as	
11	alleged above.	
12	61. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unlawful	
13	conduct within the meaning of California Penal Code § 502, Defendants have	
14	caused damage to Yardi in an amount to be proven at trial. Yardi is also entitled to	
15	recover its reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to California Penal Code § 502(e).	
16	62. Yardi is informed and believes that Defendants' acts were	
17	willful and malicious, including because they were done with the deliberate intent	
18	to injure Yardi's business and improve their own. Yardi is therefore entitled to	
19	punitive damages.	
20	63. Yardi has also suffered irreparable injury from these acts. Due	
21	to the continuing threat of such injury, Yardi has no adequate remedy at law and is	
22	entitled to injunctive relief.	
23	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
24	Violations of the Digital Millennium Copyright Act	
25	(17 U.S.C. § 1201 et seq.)	
26	(Against All Defendants)	
27	64. Yardi incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the	
28	preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here.	

Yardi employs certain technological measures, including the 1 65. use of access-specific Credentials and the issuance of those Credentials only to 2 employees, licensed clients, and contracted consultants, that (1) effectively control 3 access to different parts of Client Central, and (2) effectively protect Yardi's rights 4 in the copyrighted materials available only through certain parts of Client Central. 5 Defendants circumvented Yardi's technological measures 6 and/or provided the means to do so by (1) obtaining Client Central Credentials 7 without authorization, and/or (2) using those Credentials, or Credentials obtained 8 by other improper means, to access Client Central without authorization. 9 Defendants used these circumvention measures to access portions of Client Central 10 which Defendants could not have accessed without use of one of a small number of 11 special Yardi employee Credentials. Defendants further used these circumvention 12 measures to obtain additional Credentials and used those additional Credentials to 13 circumvent the access restrictions on Client Central in order to access and 14 download Yardi's copyrighted Documentation. 15 As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts, Yardi has suffered **16** and will continue to suffer damages to be proven at trial. Yardi is further entitled **17** to recover from Defendants all profits attributable to Defendants' wrongful acts, to 18 be proved at trial. Alternatively, upon its election at any time before final 19 judgment is entered, Yardi is entitled to recover statutory damages pursuant to 17 20 21 U.S.C. § 1203. Defendants' circumventions have also caused Yardi irreparable 22 injury. Unless restrained and enjoined, Defendants will continue to commit such 23 acts. Yardi's remedies at law are not adequate to compensate it for these inflicted 24 and threatened injuries, entitling Yardi to remedies including injunctive relief as 25 provided by 17 U.S.C. § 1203. 26 27

#### FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF 1 Copyright Infringement 2 (17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.) 3 (Against All Defendants) 4 Yardi incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the 5 preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here. 6 Yardi owns a valid and enforceable copyright in all its software 7 70. and Documentation, including the materials copied by Defendants, which are 8 creative works of original authorship. Yardi has applied to the Register of 9 Copyrights for Certificates of Registration that cover examples of the copyrighted 10 materials taken and copied by Defendants, as listed in the table below. Yardi is in 11 the process of applying for and obtaining additional relevant copyright 12 registrations and will amend this Complaint to include those additional 13 registrations. 14

15 16	No.	Title of Work	Copyright Office Service Request #	Date of Application
17 18	1	Yardi Genesis Property Management User's Guide (Version 5.1.03)	1-542591473	1/20/2011
19 20	2	Yardi Voyager International Currency User's Guide (Version 7.0)	1-542591547	1/20/2011
21	3	Yardi Voyager International User's Guide (Version 7.0)	1-542591569	1/20/2011
22 23 24	4	Yardi Investment Management: Capital Calls, Distributions, and Revaluations Feature Guide (Voyager 7.0)	1-542591591	1/20/2011
25	5	Yardi Investment Management User's Guide (Voyager 7.0)	1-542693613	1/20/2011
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	6	Yardi Voyager Contacts, Notifications, and Workflows User's Guide (Version 7.0)	1-542693667	1/20/2011

20

28

1 [			Copyright Office	Date of
2 .	No	Title of Work	Service Request #	Application
3 4	7	Yardi Voyager Fixed Assets User's Guide (Version 7.0.5.0.67)	1-542693699	1/20/2011
5	8	Yardi Voyager Forecasting User's Guide (Version 7.0)	1-542693731	1/20/2011
6 7	9	Voyager Maintenance Service Contract User's Guide (Version 70.6 Plug-in v1)	1-542693763	1/20/2011
8	10	Yardi PortfolioVMF User's Guide (Version 7.0)	1-542693785	1/20/2011
9	11	New Features in Voyager 7.0	1-542693847	1/20/2011
10 11	12	Voyager: Yardi Systems, Inc. Release Notes (Voyager 706 General Ledger Plug-In 2)	1-542693889	1/20/2011
12 13	13	Voyager Work Orders User's Guide (Version 7.0.06 Maintenance Plug-in v1)	1-542693951	1/20/2011
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li></ul>	14	Yardi Voyager Custom Financial Analytics Reporting User's Guide (Version 6.0.08)	1-542694005	1/20/2011
16 · 17	15	Yardi Voyager Debt-Mortgage User's Guide (Version 6.0.08)	1-542694027	1/20/2011
18	16	Yardi Voyager International User's Guide (Version 6.0.08.22)	1-542694049	1/20/2011
19 20	17	Yardi Enterprise 2010 End-of-Year Procedures Guide	1-546956204	1/20/2011
21	18	Yardi Voyager 2010 End-of-Year Procedures Guide	1-546956226	1/20/2011
22 23	19	Enterprise 1099 Installation Utility and QuickSteps (2010)	1-546956565	1/20/2011
24	20	Voyager 1099 Installation Utility and QuickSteps (2010)	1-546956297	1/20/2011
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	21	Voyager Property Management: Yardi Systems, Inc. Release Notes (Budgeting & Forecasting Version 60.08.22)	1-548714402	1/20/2011

1	71. Through the acts alleged above, Defendants have violated
2	Yardi's exclusive rights to reproduce its copyrighted materials, including materials
3	covered by the registrations listed above, at least by downloading the materials
4	from Client Central to Defendants' own systems in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 106,
5	without authorization or license.
6	72. Defendants have also violated the exclusive rights of Yardi to
7	control the distribution and creation of derivative works from its copyrighted
8	works in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 106.
9	73. In addition to directly infringing the exclusive rights of Yardi,
10	Defendants have contributorily and/or vicariously infringed the exclusive rights of
11	Yardi in its copyrighted materials by controlling, directing, intentionally
12	encouraging, inducing, or materially contributing to the copying, distribution, or
13	creation of derivative works from Yardi's copyrighted materials. Defendants also
14	obtained a direct financial benefit from the above alleged infringing activities
15	while declining to exercise their right and ability to stop them or limit them.
16	74. Defendants knew or should have known that copying,
17	distributing, and creating derivative works of and from Yardi's copyrighted
18	materials on Client Central, infringed the exclusive rights of Yardi in those
19	materials, including without limitation because of written warnings from Yardi and
20	copyright notices in the Documentation.
21	75. Yardi is entitled to damages in an amount to be proven at trial,
22	including both actual damages and Defendants' profits attributable to the
23	infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504.
24	76. Defendants' infringement of the exclusive rights of Yardi has
25	also caused Yardi irreparable injury. Unless restrained and enjoined, Defendants
26	will continue to commit such acts. Yardi's remedies at law are not adequate to
27	compensate it for these inflicted and threatened injuries, entitling Yardi to
28	remedies including injunctive relief as provided by 17 U.S.C. § 502, and an order

1	impounding or destroying any and all infringing materials pursuant to
2	17 U.S.C. § 503.
3	FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
4	Trade Secret Misappropriation
5	(Cal. Civ. Code §§ 3426-3426.11)
6	(Against All Defendants)
7	77. Yardi incorporates by reference each of the allegations in the
8	preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth here.
9	78. Yardi owns the proprietary and confidential Documentation,
10	price lists, Credentials, and other information stored on Client Central, as described
11	above. These materials are a valuable collection of data assembled over many
12	years that allow Yardi to compete effectively and advantageously, including
13	because the information is not generally known to others who, if they had access to
14	the information, could use it to compete against Yardi.
15	79. Yardi makes reasonable efforts to maintain the confidentiality
16	of its Documentation, price lists, Credentials, and other information stored on
17	Client Central, including, without limitation, by (1) restricting access to Client
18	Central with unique and confidential Credentials, (2) disclosing certain of these
19	materials only to clients or independent consultants pursuant to licenses or other
20	contracts with strict confidentiality provisions, (3) prohibiting disclosure of these
21	materials by employees pursuant to employment agreements and Yardi's
22	Employee Handbook, and (4) designating these materials as confidential,
23	proprietary, copyrighted, and trade secrets.
24	80. Defendants have misappropriated Yardi's trade secrets by
25	means they knew, or had reason to know, were improper. As described in more
26	detail above, Defendants obtained unauthorized access to confidential Yardi
27	employee Credentials, logged on to Yardi-employee-restricted portions of Client
28	Central using those stolen Credentials, then downloaded the trade secret materials

described above. Defendants had no proper access or permission to acquire these 1 materials, and could not reasonably have believed they had such permission. 2 Defendants then used and/or disclosed these trade secret 3 materials without authorization to gain competitive advantage against Yardi, 4 including to win clients from Yardi, enhance their services to Yardi's clients, and 5 to improve their own, competing software. Defendants knew or had reason to 6 know at the time of their use and/or disclosure of Yardi's trade secret information 7 that Defendants' knowledge of the information was (1) derived from or through a 8 person who had employed improper means to acquire those trade secrets from 9 Client Central, (2) acquired under circumstances giving rise to a duty to maintain 10 the secrets' secrecy or to limit their use, including but not limited to using an 11 employee-restricted Credential, and/or (3) derived from or through a person who 12 owed a duty to Yardi to maintain the secrets' secrecy or limit their use. 13 82. Yardi has suffered actual losses caused by Defendants' 14 misappropriation of its trade secret information in an amount to be proved at trial. 15 In addition, Yardi is entitled to the benefits by which Defendants were unjustly 16 enriched by misappropriating Yardi's trade secret information. 17 Defendants' misappropriation of Yardi's trade secrets was 18 willful and malicious, entitling Yardi to exemplary damages and its attorneys' fees 19 20 and costs. Defendants' misappropriation of Yardi's trade secrets has also 84. 21 caused Yardi irreparable injury. Unless restrained and enjoined, Defendants will 22 continue to commit such acts. Yardi's remedies at law are not adequate to 23 compensate it for these inflicted and threatened injuries, entitling Yardi to 24 25 injunctive relief. 26 27 28 24

1	SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF					
2	Unfair Competition					
3	(Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq.)					
4	(Against All Defendants)					
5	85. Yardi incorporates by reference each of the allegations in					
6	paragraphs 1 through 63 and 77 through 84 of this Complaint as though fully set					
7	forth here.					
8	86. Defendants have engaged in unlawful business acts or practices					
9	by committing illegal acts, including computer fraud as alleged above, in an effort					
10	to gain unfair competitive advantage over Yardi.					
lÍ	87. These unlawful business acts or practices were committed					
12	pursuant to business activity related to providing real estate and property					
13	management software and related support and services for that software.					
14	88. The acts and conduct of Defendants constitute fraudulent,					
15	unlawful, and unfair competition as defined by California Business & Professions					
16	Code § 17200 et seq.					
17	89. Defendants' conduct constitutes violations of numerous state					
18	and federal statutes and codes, including, but not limited to, violations of the					
19	Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1030 et seq., receipt of stolen					
20	property, California Penal Code § 496, and unauthorized access to computers,					
21	California Penal Code § 502.					
22	90. Defendants have improperly and unlawfully taken commercial					
23	advantage of Yardi's investments in its trade secret materials. In light of					
24	Defendants' conduct, it would be inequitable to allow Defendants to retain the					
25	benefit of the funds obtained though the unauthorized and unlawful use of that					
26	property.					
27.	91. Defendants' unfair business practices have unjustly minimized					
28	Yardi's competitive advantages and have caused and are causing Yardi to suffer					

	damages.				
	92. As a result of such unfair competition, Yardi has also suffered				
	irreparable injury and, unless Defendants are enjoined from such unfair				
competition, will continue to suffer irreparable injury, whereby Yardi has no					
	adequate remedy at law.				
	93. Defendants should be compelled to restore any and all money				
	or property they may have obtained in violation of California Business &				
	Professions Code § 17200 et seq., and should be enjoined from further unlawful,				
	unfair, and deceptive business practices.				
	PRAYER FOR RELIEF				
	WHEREFORE, Yardi respectfully prays for the following:				
	A. For a preliminary and permanent injunction restraining				
	Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and those in				
	active concert or participation with any of them, from the following:				
	(1) Copying <sup>2</sup> , accessing, storing, distributing, using,				
	publicly displaying, or creating derivative works from Yardi's copyrighted				
	materials in any way, including for any business purpose, except as otherwise				
	allowed by express license from Yardi;				
	(2) Facilitating any of the acts described in (1) above;				
	(3) Engaging in any violations of the Digital				
	Millennium Copyright Act, including acts that circumvent or facilitate				
	circumvention of Yardi's technological measures that control access to its				
	copyrighted materials, including by accessing Client Central other than as allowed				
	by express license from Yardi;				
	(4) Otherwise engaging in acts of copyright				
	(4) Otherwise engaging in acts of copyright  2 As used in this Prayer, "copying" includes downloading from a website or digital storage media.				

1 infringement, violations of the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, violations of the 2 Computer Data Access and Fraud Act, trade secret misappropriation, or unfair 3 competition; 4 В. For an Order directing Defendants to file with the Court and serve on Yardi within thirty (30) days after the service on Defendants of such 5 6 injunction a report in writing, under oath, setting forth in detail the manner and 7 form in which Defendants have complied with the injunction; 8 C. For an Order directing Defendants to return Yardi's 9 property, including, without limitation, Yardi's confidential, proprietary, trade 10 secret, and copyrighted materials, including data, Documentation and software that Defendants took from Yardi without authorization, as set forth in this Complaint; 11 **12** For an Order impounding or destroying any and all D. infringing materials pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 503; 13 14 E. For an Order impounding or destroying all Credentials improperly obtained by Defendants pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1203; 15 For damages, including actual damages to Yardi and F. 16 17 Defendants' profits attributable to their wrongful acts, to be proven at trial; For statutory damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 1203; 18 G. 19 H. For punitive damages; I. 20 For prejudgment interest; 21 J. For an Order awarding Yardi its attorneys' fees and 22 costs; and 23 /// /// 24 /// 25 26 /// 27 /// 28 /// 27

L		er awardi	ng Yardi suc	h other and f	urther reli
2	as the Court deems just and proper.				
3 1	DATED: January 24, 2011				
5		Bingham	McCutchen	LLP	
<b>,</b> 7			MM	nal 1	
3		Ву:		100	
) )			Attorney	M. Howard s for Plaintif ystems, Inc.	
			1 41 41 5	, 2001.125, 11101	
i.					
		•			
				**	
,					
		-	• .		,
		• *			
			•		
•					
•					

· 1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL					
2	In accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b), Plaintiff Yardi Systems, Inc.					
.3	demands a trial by jury on all issues triable by a jury.					
4	DATED: January 24, 2011					
5	D111DD. January 21, 2011					
6	Bingham McCutchen LLP					
7						
8	By: De la					
10	Geoffrey M. Howard					
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff Yardi Systems, Inc.					
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
-	20					

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

#### NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Otis D. Wright II and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is John E. McDermott.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV11- 690 ODW (JEMx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge						
	,					
		•				

#### NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Sub	sequent documents must be filed a	at the	following location:		
[X] Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Los Angeles, CA 90012		L	Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516		Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 13 Riverside, CA 92501
Failu	re to file at the proper location will resu	ult in y	our documents being returned to you.		

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

· for the

Central District of California

Yardi Systems, Inc., California, Company.	)	
<i>т <sub>ранаду</sub></i> У.	)	Civil Action No.
RealPage, Inc., a Delaware corporation and DC Consulting, Inc., a Washington, D.C. corporation	)	
Defendant	)	

#### SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address)

RealPage, Inc., a Delaware corporation 4000 International Parkway, Carrollton, Texas 75007-1913

DC Consulting, Inc., a Washington, D.C. corporation 1050 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW, Suite 2300, Washington, D.C. 20007

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Geoffrey M. Howard (SBN 157468) Bree Hann (SBN 215695) Chad Russell (SBN 246046) Bingham McCutchen LLP Three Embarcadero Center, San Francisco, California 94111-4067

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

MARILYN DAVIS

Date:

JAN 2 4 2011

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

BYFAX

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box Yardi Systems, Inc.	k if you are representing yourself	<b>(</b>	DEFENDANTS RealPage, Inc. DC Consulting, Inc.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Ad yourself, provide same.)	dress and Telephone Number. If	you are representing	Attorneys (If Known)		
Bingham McCutchen LLF	N 157468) & Bree Hann (SBN 21 7, Three Embarcadero Ctr., San Fr 0; Facsimile: (415) 393-2286	•			
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION	N (Place an X in one box only.)		ISHIP OF PRINCIPAL PART X in one box for plaintiff and o		s Only
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party	) Citizen of This		DEF  l lncorporated or l of Business in th	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	d □ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citize of Parties in Item III)	enship Citizen of And	ther State	☐ 2 Incorporated and of Business in A	Principal Place 5 5 nother State
		Citizen or Sub	ject of a Foreign Country 3	☐ 3 Foreign, Nation	□6 □6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in on	e box only.)				
Proceeding 2 Remove		□ 4 Reinstated or □ Reopened	5 Transferred from another dis	Dist	• •
V. REQUESTED IN COMPL	aint: jury demand: 🗹	es 🗆 No (Check 'Ye	s' only if demanded in complain	nt.)	
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C	.P. 23: 🗆 Yes 👿 No	15/2	MONEY DEMANDED IN C	OMPLAINT: \$ accordin	g to proof
•	the U.S. Civil Statute under which	•		<del>-</del>	• •
<del></del>	numvention 17 USC 501 & 1201;	computer fraud 18 US	C 1030 & Cal, Penal Code 502;	state trade secret misappro	priation & unfair competition
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Plac	e an X in one box only.)	·			<del></del>
OTHER STATUTES  ☐ 400 State Reapportionment	CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance	TORTS PERSONAL INJUR	Y PERSONAL	PRISONER PETITIONS	LABOR  710 Fair Labor Standards
□ 410 Antitrust	□ 120 Marine	□310 Airplane	PROPERTY	☐ 510 Motions to	Act
☐ 430 Banks and Banking	□ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Produ Liability	1	Vacate Sentence	☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt.
☐ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.	☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of	☐ 320 Assault, Libel	& □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal	Habeas Corpus  ☐ 530 General	Relations
Rates/etc.  □ 460 Deportation	Overpayment &	Slander	Property Damage	535 Death Penalty	☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting &
☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced	Enforcement of	☐ 330 Fed. Employer	s' 385 Property Damage		Disclosure Act
and Corrupt	Judgment	Liability	Product Liability	Other	☐ 740 Railway Labor Act
Organizations	☐ 151 Medicare Act	340 Marine	BANKRUPICY	☐ 550 Civil Rights	☐ 790 Other Labor
	□ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 345 Marine Produc Liability	11 422 Appear 26 USC	☐ 555 Prison Condition	Litigation
☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV	Student Loan (Excl.	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	158	FORFEITURE/:	☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.
☐ 810 Selective Service ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	Veterans)	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	USC 157	PENALTY  610 Agriculture	Security Act
Exchange	Overpayment of	Product Liabili	'y Irania a real		19 820 Copyrights
□ 875 Customer Challenge 12	Veteran's Benefits	☐ 360 Other Personal Injury	☐ 441 Voting	Drug	□ 830 Patent
USC 3410	□ 160 Stockholders' Suits	Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury	☐ 442 Employment	☐ 625 Drug Related	□ 840 Trademark
	☐ 190 Other Contract	Med Malpracti	ce 443 Housing/Acco-	Seizure of	SOCIAL SECURITY.
□ 891 Agricultural Act	☐ 195 Contract Product	☐ 365 Personal Injury			□ 861 HIA (1395ff)
□ 892 Economic Stabilization	Liability  ☐ 196 Franchise	Product Liabili		881	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW
Act  893 Environmental Matters	LI 196 Pranchise REAL PROPERTY	☐ 368 Asbestos Perso Injury Product		☐ 630 Liquor Laws ☐ 640 R.R. & Truck	(405(g))
□ 894 Energy Allocation Act	□ 210 Land Condemnation	Liability	Employment	□ 650 Airline Regs	□ 864 SSID Title XVI
	☐ 220 Foreclosure	IMMIGRATION		☐ 660 Occupational	□ 865 RSI (405(g))
☐ 900 Appeal of Fee Determi-	_ 250 1000 2000 00 25J000000	☐ 462 Naturalization	Disabilities -	Safety /Health	FEDERAL TAX SUITS
· • • •	☐ 240 Torts to Land	Application	Other	☐ 690 Other	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff
Access to Justice	☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 463 Habeas Corpus Alien Detainee	- 1.0 O.B. O	,	or Defendant)
☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	□ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 465 Other Immigra Actions	i idgiis		USC 7609
	<b>A15</b>				L
y		<b>V11</b> 0	<del>0600</del>		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:					

CV-71 (05/08)

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES If yes, list case number(s):	: Has this action been p	reviously filed in this court ar	nd dismissed, remanded or closed? 5 No □ Yes			
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: If yes, list case number(s):	•	eviously filed in this court tha	at are related to the present case? ☑ No □ Yes			
	A. Arise from the sam B. Call for determinat C. For other reasons v	e or closely related transaction ion of the same or substantial would entail substantial duplic	ons, happenings, or events; or ly related or similar questions of law and fact; or cation of labor if heard by different judges; or <u>and</u> one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.			
IX. VENUE: (When completing	g the following informa	tion, use an additional sheet i	fnecessary.)			
			if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
Santa Barbara			·			
			f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).			
County in this District:*		•	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
	,		Texas and District of Columbia			
		outside of this District; State it on of the tract of land involv	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose. red.			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
Santa Barbara and Los Ange	les	,	Texas, District of Columbia, Oregon and Oklahoma			
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Be Note: In land condemnation case			San Luis Obispo Counties			
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNI	ey (or pro per):	S-MA	Date 1-27-11			
or other papers as required b	y law. This form, appro	ved by the Judicial Conference	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings e of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)			
Key to Statistical codes relating t	o Social Security Cases	:				
Nature of Suit Co	de Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	Cause of Action			
861	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended.  Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))					
862	862 BL All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)					
863	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
863	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security  Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
864	864 SSID All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.					
865	RSI All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))					
CV-71 (05/08)		CIVIL C	COVER SHEET Page 2 of 2			