1 2 3 4 5 6	Paul Sala, State Bar #11693 Michael A. Jones, State Bar #27311 ALLEN, SALA & BAYNE, PLC 1850 N. Central Ave., #1150 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Ofc: (602) 256-6000 Fax: (602) 252-4712 Email: psala@asbazlaw.com mjones@asbazlaw.com	
7	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
8	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
9	In re:	CHAPTER 11
10	REALTY EXECUTIVES, INC.,	Case No. 2-11-bk-12497-RJH
11 12	Debtor.	MOTION FOR ORDER (I) PROHIBITING DEBTOR'S UTILITY COMPANIES FROM ALTERING,
13		REFUSING OR DISCONTINUING SERVICE; AND (II) DETERMINING
14		THAT DEBTOR'S UTILITY COMPANIES ARE ADEQUATELY ASSURED OF PAYMENT FOR FUTURE
15		UTILITY SERVICES
16	Realty Executives, Inc. ("Debtor"), as de	btor and debtor-in-possession in the above-
17	captioned Chapter 11 bankruptcy case, hereby moves this Court for entry of an order pursuant to	
18	Section 366 of the BANKRUPTCY CODE (i) prohibiting the Debtor's utility companies (as defined	
19	below) from altering, refusing, or discontinuing services, and (ii) determining that the Debtor's	
20	utility companies are adequately assured of payment for future utility services for purposes of §	
21	366(b) and (c)(2) of the BANKRUPTCY CODE. The	ais Motion is supported by the accompanying
22	Memorandum of Points and Authorities.	
23	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES	
24	I.	
25	BACKGROUND	
26	1. On April 30, 2011 (the "Petition I	Date"), the Debtor filed its voluntary petition for
27	relief under Chapter 11 of the BANKRUPTCY CODE.	
28		
Case	I:\1400\1429 - Realty Executives, Inc\Admin Pleadings\366 Motion FIN 2:11-bk-12497-RJH Doc 8 Filed 04/30/11 Main Document Pa	

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

- 2. Pursuant to Sections 1107 and 1108 of the BANKRUPTCY CODE, the Debtor is continuing to manage its property and assets as debtor-in-possession. No trustee or examiner has been appointed in the Debtor's Chapter 11 case.
- 3. This Court has jurisdiction to hear this Application pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334 and 157. This Application represents a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(A). Venue in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. The statutory predicates for this Motion are § 366, 503 and 507 of the BANKRUPTCY CODE.
- 4. The Debtor is a franchisee of Realty Executives International, Inc. Realty Executives International, Inc. is the franchisor and is not a party to this proceeding. The Debtor is headquartered in Phoenix, Arizona. The Debtor was founded in October of 1965 with an innovative concept that allowed Realtors to be paid full commissions for their closed real estate transactions while paying a monthly "desk fee" to the company. For 20 years after its inception, the Debtor's company grew steadily and focused on hiring only the most productive and experienced Realtors in Maricopa County. The Company motto was "no beginners, no kidding."
- 5. In 1985, the Debtor's focus became more widespread with the launch of new agent trainee programs, the development of vendor partnerships, and creation of more than 60 different commission fee plans. By 2007, the company grew to more than 1,800 Sales Executives (agents) who were members of Realty Executives, Inc., in the Phoenix area.
- 6. With this growth in Sales Executives (agents) also came a significant increase in brokerage overhead and expenses. Most notably, the company's operations grew to 17 branch offices and employed more than 120 non-agent staff members.
- 7. In the spring of 2007, the real estate market significantly decreased nationally and in the Metropolitan Phoenix market, and the Debtor was unable to maintain its profitability. At the same time, the Debtor continued to renew and expand office leases and greatly outspent its competitors from a marketing and advertising perspective. As a result, the Debtor was unable to maintain its profitability.
- 8. In October of 2009, the Debtor put in place a restructuring plan aimed at right sizing the Debtor's operations and overhead so that the Debtor could return to profitability and

1	its founding principles. In December of 2010, a specific restructuring plan was adopted by the		
2	Board to eliminate costs, improve operations, and restructure executive fee plans. By mid-April		
3	of 2011, it became clear that the Debtor could not complete the necessary restructuring plan,		
4	which required significant office lease terminations and modifications, without the help of a		
5	Chapter 11 filing.		
6	II.		
7	DEBTOR'S UTILITY COMPANIES		
8	9. In the normal course of the Debtor's operations, the Debtor uses telephone		
9	services and data services provided by Qwest Communications ("Qwest").		
10	10. In the normal course of the Debtor's operations, the Debtor uses electrical		
1	services provided by Arizona Public Service ("APS"), Salt River Project ("SRP"), and Tucson		
12	Electric Power ("TEP").		
13	11. In the normal course of the Debtor's operations, the Debtor uses gas services		
4	provided by Southwest Gas ("Southwest").		
15	12. In the normal course of the Debtor's operations, the Debtor uses water services		
16	provided by City of Phoenix (the "Water Company").		
17	13. In the normal course of Debtor's operations, the Debtor uses waste services		
18	provided by Waste Management.		
9	14. In the normal course of Debtor's operations, the Debtor uses data services		
20	provided by Cox Communications ("Cox") and Comcast Cable ("Comcast").		
21	15. Collectively, Qwest, APS, SRP, TEP, Southwest, the Water Company, Waste		
22	Management, Cox and Comcast are referred to herein as the "Utility Companies."		
23	16. Any and all services provided by the Utility Companies to the Debtor on the		
24	Petition Date are referred to herein as the "Utility Services."		
25	17. The Debtor's business operations depend on the Utility Services for its continued		
26	operations and to preserve the value of its assets.		
27	111		
28	111		

18. Although the Debtor has been past due on many of its utilities over the last year, and is on average 30 to 60 days past due as of the Petition Date, the Debtor fully expects that its will be able to make timely post-petition payments to the Utility Companies.

## III.

## RELIEF REQUESTED

- 19. The Debtor requests that this Court determine that the Utility Companies are adequately assured of payment for the Utility Services by virtue of the Proposed Adequate Assurance (as defined below).
- 20. The Debtor intends to pay all post-petition obligations owed to the Utility Companies in a timely manner. To that end, the Debtor's cash collateral budget, which has been submitted for Court approval, provides sufficient funding to pay the Utility Companies on a monthly basis.
- 21. Furthermore, the Debtor proposes to provide a cash deposit of \$500.00 to each of the Utility Companies (the "Adequate Assurance Deposit").
- 22. In the event that the Utility Companies do not object to the adequacy of the Adequate Assurance Deposit within thirty (30) days of the entry of an Order granting this Motion, the Debtor respectfully requests that the Court determinate that the Utility Companies are adequately assured of payment for future Utility Services pursuant to § 366 of the BANKRUPTCY CODE.
- 23. The Debtor submits that the Adequate Assurance Deposit, in conjunction with the Debtor's ability to pay for future utility services in the ordinary course of business, constitutes sufficient adequate assurance to the Utility Companies of payment for future Utility Services.
- 24. If the Utility Companies wish to seek additional assurance of payment for future Utility Services from the Debtor, the Utility Companies must file an objection with the Court requesting a hearing on the matter within thirty (30) days of the date of entry of an Order granting this Motion. The Court will then set a hearing on the matter and set forth the appropriate mechanism for the Debtor to provide such additional adequate assurance of payment requested by the Utility Companies.

IV. 1 APPLICABLE AUTHORITY 2 3 Section 366 of the BANKRUPTCY CODE provides as follows: (a) Except as provided in subsections (b) and (c) of this section, a 4 utility may not alter, refuse, or discontinue service to, or 5 discriminate against, the trustee or the debtor solely on the basis of the commencement of a case under this title or that a debt owed by the debtor to such utility for service rendered before the order for 6 relief was not paid when due. 7 (c)(2) Subject to paragraphs (3) and (4), with respect to a case 8 filed under chapter 11, a utility referred to in subsection (a) may alter, refuse or discontinue utility service, if during the 30-day 9 period beginning on the date of the filing of the petition, the utility does not receive from the debtor or the trustee adequate assurance 10 of payment for utility service that is satisfactory to the utility. 11 11 U.S.C. § 366(a), (c)(2); see also Steinebach v. Tucson Elec. Power Co. (In re Steinebach), 12 303 B.R. 634, 641 (Bankr. D. Ariz. 2003) (utility companies are not entitled to adequate 13 protection, rather, "what is required is that the utility he protected from an unreasonable risk of 14 non-payment.") (emphasis added). For the purpose of evaluating whether a debtor has provided 15 adequate assurance of payment, the Court may not consider the absence of security before the 16 petition date, the debtor's pre-petition payment history, or the availability of an administrative 17 expense priority. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 366(c)(3)(B). 18 As described above, the Debtor's post-petition operating revenues are more than 19 sufficient to timely pay all of the Debtor's post-petition administrative expenses, including future 20 Utility Services provided by the Utility Companies. Moreover, the Debtor proposes to provide to 21 the Utility Companies an Adequate Assurance Deposit in the amount of \$500.00 to each of the 22 Utility Companies as adequate assurance of payment for future Utility Services. /// 23 /// 24 111 25 26

Case 2:11-bk-12497-RJH Doc 8 Filed 04/30/11 Entered 04/30/11 15:10:38 Desc Main Document Page 5 of 6

27

28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For purposes of § 366(c), the term "assurance of payment" means either "(i) a cash deposit; (ii) a letter of credit; (iii) a certificate of deposit; (iv) a surety bond; (v) a prepayment of utility consumption; or (vi) another form of security that is mutually agreed on between the utility and the debtor or the trustee." 11 U.S.C. § 366(c)(1)(A).

1	V.		
2	<u>NOTICE</u>		
3	The Debtor will serve a copy of the Order, once entered, upon the Utility Companies,		
4	thereby notifying them of their rights. The attached Proposed Order provides that the Utility		
5	Companies so served are subject to the scope of the Order from the date of service and are		
6	afforded thirty (30) days from the date of such service to make a request, if any, to the Debtor fo		
7	additional adequate assurance of payment.		
8	VI.		
9	CONCLUSION		
10	WHEREFORE, the Debtor respectfully requests that the Court enter the Order pursuant		
11	to 11 U.S.C. § 366(a): (a) prohibiting the Utility Companies from altering, refusing or		
12	discontinuing future Utility Services on account of the filing of this case or pre-petition invoices		
13	(b) determining that the Utility Companies are adequately assured of future payment by the		
14	Adequate Assurance Deposit proposed by the Debtor and (c) granting such other and further		
15	relief as is just and proper.		
16	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 30th day of April, 2011.		
17	ALLEN, SALA & BAYNE, PLC		
18	/s/ PS 11160		
19	Paul Sala Michael A. Jones		
20	1850 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1150 Phoenix, AZ 85004		
21	Proposed Attorneys for Debtor		
22	COPY of the foregoing e-mailed this 30 <sup>th</sup> day of April, 2011, to:		
23			
24	Edward K. Bernatavicius, Esq. Office of the United States Trustee		
25	230 North First Avenue, Suite 204 Phoenix, AZ 85003		
26	e-mail: <u>Edward.k.bernatavicius@usdoj.gov</u>		
27	/s/ Sherry Gomez		
28			
I			

Case 2:11-bk-12497-RJH Doc 8 Filed 04/30/11 Entered 04/30/11 15:10:38 Desc Main Document Page 6 of 6