

## BERENS, KOZUB, KLOBERDANZ & BLONSTEIN, PLC Daniel L. Kloberdanz, #012231

7047 E. Greenway Parkway, Suite 140 Scottsdale, Arizona 85254 (480) 624-2777

dkloberdanz@bkl-az.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Saypo Cattle Co.

## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

SAYPO CATTLE CO., a Montana corporation, Plaintiff,

CCV2011-051263

v.

**COMPLAINT** 

REALTY EXECUTIVES, INC., an Arizona corporation; RICHARD A. RECTOR and JANE DOE RECTOR, husband and wife; JOHN and JANE DOES 1 through 10,

Defendants.

Plaintiff Saypo Cattle Co. ("Plaintiff"), by and through its undersigned counsel, for its complaint against Defendants, alleges as follows:

- Plaintiff is a Montana corporation and is the owner of a certain commercial building 1. located at 23200 N. Pima Road, Scottsdale, Arizona 85255 (the "Property").
- 2. Defendant Realty Executives, Inc. is an Arizona corporation ("Realty Executives"), and is a real estate brokerage company doing business in the State of Arizona.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Richard A. Rector is a resident of Maricopa County and at all relevant times, an officer of Realty Executives. Upon information and belief that Rector is married, his spouse is hereby named as Jane Doe Rector because Rector was acting on behalf of his community property.
- 4. Defendants John and Jane Does 1 through 10 are fictitious persons or entities whose true names and identities are presently unknown to Plaintiff but who, upon information and belief,

12 13

14

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

are liable to the Plaintiff along with the named Defendants as stated above. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend this Complaint to show the true names and identities of these fictitious individuals and persons as those true names and identities become known to Plaintiff.

- 5. Upon information and belief, Defendants Realty Executives, Rector and the Doe Defendants have committed acts in Maricopa County, Arizona in relation to the Lease described below, thereby making them subject to the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 6. Plaintiff, as the landlord (the "Landlord"), and Realty Executives, as the tenant, entered into a lease for certain premises located at the Property dated February 12, 1998 (the "Lease").
- 7. Pursuant to the terms of the Lease, Realty Executives agreed to pay to the Plaintiff certain scheduled rent, including monthly rent which was due on the first day of every month, plus other charges including certain costs and late fees (collectively the "Rent").
- 8. At various times in the year 2010, Realty Executives breached the Lease by failing to pay the Rent when due.
- 9. On or about September 27, 2010, and while in default under the Lease, Realty Executives executed a Third Amendment to Lease ("Third Amendment") to address certain issues in light of Realty Executives' previous defaults under the Lease. Defendant Rich Rector executed the Third Amendment on behalf of Realty Executives.
- 10. Realty Executives made a partial payment of reduced rent in the amount of \$22,461.00 on or about October 1, 2010. However, Realty Executives has not made any payments since that date.
- Pursuant to the terms of the Third Amendment, Realty Executives was required to pay rent pursuant to the schedule included in that amendment, including payments due on November 1,

2010, December 1, 2010, and the first day of every month thereafter.

- 12. Pursuant to the terms of the Third Amendment, the right of Realty Executives to receive any reduction of rent is expressly predicated on Realty Executives' timely payment of each and every payment due under the Third Amendment.
- 13. Without the knowledge of the Landlord, on or about the weekend of November 13, 2010, Realty Executives moved out of the Property, thus vacating the premises and further breaching the terms of the Lease.
- 14. The Landlord provided a formal notice to Realty Executives that its act of vacating the premises constitutes a breach of the Lease and must be cured by Realty Executives. Despite such request, Realty Executives has not cured its default.

## COUNT ONE Breach of Lease (Against Defendant Realty Executives only)

- 15. Pursuant to the terms of the Third Amendment, Realty Executives was required to pay rent pursuant to the schedule included in that amendment, including payments due on November 1, 2010, December 1, 2010, and the first day of every month thereafter.
- 16. Pursuant to the terms of the Third Amendment, the right of Realty Executives to receive any reduction of rent is expressly predicated on Realty Executives' timely payment of each and every payment due under the Third Amendment.
- 17. Without the knowledge of the Landlord, Realty Executives moved out of the Property, thus vacating the premises and further breaching the terms of the Lease.
- 18. Pursuant to the terms of the Lease, the abandonment of the Premises is a further material breach of the Lease.
  - 19. As of April 1, 2011, the amount of unpaid rent and other sums due under the Lease is

17

19

20

22

23

26

27

shortly after the Third Amendment was executed by Rich Rector.

- 24. Upon information and belief, at the time Rector negotiated and executed the lease extension, Realty Executives had already planned on moving its offices to the other location, and failed to disclose such fact to the Landlord.
- 25. Therefore, upon information and belief, Realty Executives entered into the Third Amendment—and obtained deferment of rent from the Landlord—under fraudulent pretenses.
- 26. Upon information and belief, Rector executed the Third Amendment, and induced the Landlord to defer rent and a forebear taking legal action against Realty Executives, with complete knowledge that Realty Executives did not intend to stay in the premises.
- 27. The individual principals of Realty Executives who held such knowledge should be held accountable and liable for any damages caused by such nondisclosure to the Landlord.
- 28. Defendants Realty Executives and Rector, individually and/or in concert with one another, made material misrepresentations and omissions to Plaintiff calculated to induce Plaintiff into believing and relying upon the fact that Realty Executives intended to stay in the premises through May, 2014.
- 29. The above-stated misrepresentations and omissions were material, and Plaintiff relied and acted upon those misrepresentations and omissions.
- 30. Defendants Realty Executives and Rector owed a duty to inform Plaintiff of the above-stated material omitted facts, including, but not limited to Realty Executives' decision to move out of the premises to another location.
- 31. Defendants Realty Executives and Rector intended that Plaintiff rely and act upon the truth of such representations, and in ignorance of omissions made to Plaintiff, and as a direct and proximate result of such misrepresentations and omissions of Defendants, Plaintiff have been

damaged for the delays in enforcing the lease default against Realty Executives, and not securing another tenant.

32. Defendants Realty Executives and Rector acted with an evil mind, with an intent to harm the economic interests of Plaintiff, and their conduct was so outrageous as to the rights and interests of Plaintiff that punitive damages should be awarded to punish them and deter others from similar conduct.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief against Defendants Realty Executives and Rector, joint and severally, as follows:

- A. As to all Defendants, for actual damages resulting from the failure to disclose the fact that Realty Executives was planning on moving out of the premises when negotiating the lease extension and rent deferment;
  - B. For Plaintiff's costs and expenses of maintaining this action;
- C. For Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees in accordance with A.R.S. §12-341.01(C), and in the event of default, not less than \$2,500.00;
- D. For prejudgment and post-judgment interest on the foregoing amounts at the maximum legal rate until paid in full;
  - E. For punitive damages to be determined by the Court; and
  - F. For all other relief just and proper in this cause.

## COUNT THREE Negligent Misrepresentation (Against Defendants Realty Executives and Rector)

- 33. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference all previous allegations.
- 34. Defendants Realty Executives and Rector intentionally or negligently made false representations and omissions to Plaintiff as stated above in Count Two.

35. Defendants Realty Executives and Rector owed a duty to disclose to Plaintiff the whole truth concerning the status of Realty Executives' plans to vacate the premises and move its offices to another location.

- 36. Defendants Realty Executives and Rector knew or should have known that said representations were false when made and failed to exercise reasonable care in communicating that information.
- 37. Plaintiff justifiably relied upon said representations and omissions when deferring collection of rent and allowing Realty Executives to stay in the premises, and Plaintiff has suffered damages as a direct and proximate result of said acts of such Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief against Realty Executives and Rector, jointly and severally, as follows:

- A. As to all Defendants, for actual damages resulting from the failure to disclose the fact that Realty Executives was planning on moving out of the premises when negotiating the lease extension and rent deferment;
  - B. For Plaintiff's costs and expenses of maintaining this action;
- C. For Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees in accordance with A.R.S. §12-341.01(C), and in the event of default, not less than \$2,500.00;
- D. For prejudgment and post-judgment interest on the foregoing amounts at the maximum legal rate until paid in full;
  - E. For punitive damages to be determined by the Court; and
  - F. For all other relief just and proper in this cause.

Dated this 31st day of March, 2011.

BERENS, KOZUB, KLOBERDANZ & BLONSTEIN, PLC

By: Daniel L. Kloberdanz

7047 E. Greenway Parkway, Suite 140

Scottsdale, AZ 85254

Attorney for Plaintiff Saypo Cattle Co.